



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/624,253	07/24/2000	Yoshimi Moriya	1163-284P	7579

2292 7590 05/20/2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

MAHMOUDI, HASSAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2175

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003

l

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/624,253

Applicant(s)

MORIYA ET AL.

Examiner

Tony Mahmoudi

Art Unit

2175

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Martin Geissler (Applicant's Representative).

(3) Tony Mahmoudi.

(2) Dov Popovici.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 May 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hiyama et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,269,379).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



DOV POPOVICI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 1 and 2 were discussed in detail. The applicant's representative stated his arguments on claim 1, that a single element ("retrieving condition") taught by Hiyama et al (cited prior art) was applied by the examiner to reject two different teachings of the applicant's claim, described as "retrieval condition" and "download condition". The examiner explained that the reasons for his rejection was because Hiyama et al's "retrieving condition" satisfied both the "retrieval condition" and the "download condition" of the application, because they were similar conditions, both initiated by the user. In addition, the examiner pointed out two other elements of the Hiyama et al patent, each of which could also be used to satisfy the applicant's "download condition" element. The first additional reference was made to the Hiyama et al's "control signal", transmitted by a control signal terminal (Hiyama et al, column 4, lines 20-27), and the second additional reference was made to "display instructions" taught by Hiyama et al (column 14, lines 21-26), either of which would satisfy the "download condition" requirement taught by the applicant. The applicant's representative will follow up with the appropriate action.